TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DOCKET FILE COPY CHICAN

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
RECENEWASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

JUN 1 2002

FCC-MAILTIUUNI

In the Matter of:

BUSINESS OTPIONS, INC.

EB Docket No. 03-85

ORIGINAL

DATE OF HEARING: MAY 20, 2003 VOLUME: 1
PLACE OF HEARING: WASHINGTON, D.C. PAGES: 1-9

NEAL RECERCISE OF THE STATE OF

BEFORE THE

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

HEARING

Jun 4 2 04 PH "U

.....

IN THE MATTER OF:

BUSINESS OPTIONS, INC.

Order to Show Cause and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing EB Docket No. 03-85

File No. ED-02-TC-151

|| NAL/Acct. No. || 20332170002

FRN: 0007179054

Tuesday, May 20, 2003

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing at the Federal Communications Commission, in Room TWA-363, 445 - 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554, commencing at 9:33 a.m.

BEFORE:

RICHARD L. SIPPEL, Chief Administrative Law Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Federal Communications Commission:

JAMES W. SHOOK, ESQ.
TRENT HARKRADER, ESQ.
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1420

On Behalf of Business Options, Inc.:

DANA FRIX, ESQ. KEMAL HAWA, ESQ.

of: Chadbourne & Parke, LLP
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 974-5600

Also Present:

DAVID WOLFE, FCC, Enforcement Division KURTIS KINTZEL, Business Options

NEAL R. GROSS

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 (9:33 a.m.) 2 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 3 Please be seated. The first prehearing conference in 4 EB Docket Number 03-85. I am going to ask for 5 I am going to assume appearances on the record. 6 7 everyone has given their names to the court-reporter, but let's start with counsel for Business Options, 8 9 Inc. MR. FRIX: Yes, sir. My name is Dana 10 11 Frix, of Chadbourne & Parke, LLP, on behalf of 12 Business Options. ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: Good 13 14 morning, sir. MR. HAWA: Kemal Hawa, of Chadbourne & 15 Parke. 16 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE Good SIPPEL: 17 18 morning. I am Kurtis Kintzel, of MR. KINTZEL: 19 20 Business Options. ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: You are with 21 the principal? 22

www.nealrgross.com

1	MR. KINTZEL: Yes.
2	MR. FRIX: He is the CEO of Business
3	Options.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Thank
5	you, sir. Good morning. On behalf of the Bureau?
6	MR. SHOOK: James Shook.
7	MR. HARKRADER: Trent Harkrader, Peter
8	Wolfe.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: That's it.
10	Okay. I have issued a prehearing order in this case,
11	and I am assuming that you all have it, and have had
12	a chance to digest it.
13	But we are primarily here for the purpose
14	today of getting some dates down, a hearing date
15	ultimately, and procedural dates. Is there anything
16	of a preliminary nature that anybody has before we get
17	into this? No? Do you have dates agreed to?
18	MR. SHOOK: We have talked about them,
19	yes. I mean, there may be some very slight
20	modification, because when we were discussing dates,
21	I did not take into account a Jewish holiday, and that
22	has been pointed out to me in the meantime that there

1	is one, I believe, on October 6th
2	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: October 6th.
3	Okay. And that is Yom Kippur?
4	MR. SHOOK: Right. And so we had talked
5	about starting the hearing on the 7th as one
6	possibility.
7	MR. FRIX: And we have alternative dates
8	of the week of the 21st.
9	MR. SHOOK: We did. And so we could do
LO	that. That would allow us to start on a Tuesday, and
L1	we anticipate that we should be able to accomplish
L2	what we need to in that week.
L3	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's
14	pretty close to what I have. I was thinking well,
15	never mind what I was thinking. You are agreeing to
16	start on October 21st?
17	MR. SHOOK: Yes, sir.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: And then you
19	think we can accomplish the hearing in that week?
20	MR. SHOOK: We are certainly hopeful.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: And then we
22	back down from there on the other dates. Okay. I had

1	scratched out my own, and you are not far apart at
2	all.
3	So, all right, for the hearing let's go
4	with October 21st, a Tuesday, of 2003. Okay. And you
5	want to back down on these? I have a provision in
6	here for a trial, but I know that I kind of made that
7	indefinite in the prehearing order, but after
8	rereading it, the trial briefs will be exchanged on by
9	certain day,
10	It does not have to be anything fancy.
11	Just the specifics as I indicated in the order that we
L2	talked about. All right. Give us a date on that.
13	Just a couple of days before the hearing would be fine
14	with me. How about the 16th? Is that doable?
15	MR. FRIX: Could I suggest the 15th?
16	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure. Mr.
17	Shook?
18	MR. SHOOK: That's fine.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: October
20	15th, exchange trial briefs. And my copy just comes
21	to me, and the courtesy copy comes to me by fax or
22	hand-delivered.

1	I don't care what you do in addition to
2	that with e-mail, but I really have to require a hard
3	copy, because sometimes I get in earlier than other
4	people do, and the fax machine here, I know how to get
5	it, but someplace else I don't necessarily have the
6	access.
7	Okay. The next that I am backing down on
8	I guess would be objections to witnesses cross-
9	examination. Do you have a comfortable date for that?
10	MR. HAWA: We had talked about September
L1	23rd, and I guess we could move that forward about a
L2	week, given the issues.
L3	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
L4	September 30th.
L5	MR. SHOOK: That's fair.
L6	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: And the
L7	exchange of direct cases.
L8	MR. SHOOK: I think Tuesday, September
19	16th.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SIPPEL: Tuesday
21	September 16th.
22	MR. SHOOK: Right.

1		(Further Discussion off the record.)
2		(Whereupon, at 9:44 a.m., the hearing was
3	concluded.)	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in the matter of:

EB Docket No. 03-85

Before:

Federal Communication Commission

Date:

May 20, 2003

Place:

Washington, DC

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

Mufoka